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Executive summary

The SOLINSA transition partner training is designed for professional and experienced rural extension officers, rural development managers, researchers, experts and advisors aiming to acquire knowledge, skills and attitudes that will enable them to contribute in the emergence and development of LINSA.

The SOLINSA project has followed and studied 17 LINSA of different types in eight European countries over a period of almost three years, searching to understand how to better support them in their role in the transition towards a more Sustainable Agriculture. The participatory research approach has applied a set of tools and methods, to support the needs of both the LINSA and the transition partners. Understanding the key success factors of this collaboration has been at the centre of the reflections for preparing the pilot training at the end of the SOLINSA research project.

The different experiences have shown that the knowledge, skills and attitude of the person or group of persons playing the role of transition partners have a major influence on the success of the supportive interactions. By sharing the experiences during the project researchers have played the role of transition partners allowing them to distinguish, identify and describe a set of competences that were needed.

The training concept presented in this document aims to share the knowledge acquired in the project with future transition partners, i.e. relevant actors and stakeholders who are in the situation to support a LINSA. It is based on the real life experiences of a pilot training which was carried out and evaluated by a trainer team stemming from the SOLINSA consortium. This pilot training took place with 13 participants, 6 trainers and 2 observers, coming from 7 European countries, between 7th and 25th of October 2013. The learned experiences, during the pilot training place has been documented, analysed and fed into the presented concept.

The trainees considered that the tools to analyse social learning, the dynamic of innovation, as well as the processes in networks are very useful for their daily work. The test training resulted in a training methodology that can now be used and adapted to train transition partners in different situations. It also gave the opportunity to better formalise the methodology to support LINSAs and the different possible roles of transition partners.

This training concept is on the one hand the result of all the work carried out during the SOLINSA project (www.solinsa.net), and on the other hand it triggered a number of specific tools and concepts that have arisen to face of the challenges of the training itself as well as the questions of the participants. The support of a transition partner (trainings and support of a collegial transition partners’ network) is considered a substantial part of policy recommendations (Burkart et al. 2013).

We therefore would like to stress that this concept is the fruit of a large

---

1 See definition in chapter 2
collaboration and the willing investment of many experts, and we want to acknowledge them thankfully for their valuable contributions.

As a result of the three years interaction with LINSA, the SOLINSA researchers have identified that the transition partners play a key role in supporting the LINSA in their transition process towards a more sustainable agriculture.

Each of the fields of action of a transition partner requires its particular set of knowledge and skills. These do not fit into the hands of single persons but often need the collaboration of a transition partner team. They could be professional and experienced rural extension officers, rural development managers or advisors, researchers or other experts. They must have among other competences profound facilitation skills and dispose of a large network within the Agricultural Knowledge System (AKS) and outside the AKS, have strong projects management and organisational development skills.

The training focuses on a set of knowledge, skills and attitudes that the SOLINSA project has brought to light as crucial for supporting the LINSA. These are considered necessary and have to underlie the different fields of action to be able to carry out a coherent and efficient support for LINSA. The efficient transition partners need to know and understand the theoretical key concepts that will allow them to carry out context relevant analyses, to understand and to apply successfully the suggested methods and approaches. They also have to understand the importance and the impact of their own position and attitude to be able to create the needed links and establish a trustful partnership with the LINSA.

After the training, participants shall have a new understanding about learning and innovation processes in networks for a sustainable agriculture. Participants shall be able to analyse the context and to design an adequate support strategy to lead LINSA through an empowerment process, allowing LINSA to achieve autonomously their own transition towards sustainable agriculture. Participants shall be able to reflect about the impact of their own attitude on the process, specifically concerning the building of a trustful partnership.

The training is composed of three phases: firstly e-learning to enable the participants to learn theoretical background and to interact with the expert during dedicated webinars; secondly a three and half days face-to-face seminar, during which the participants work on their own show case and different examples coming from the SOLINSA project to train the application of the theoretical concepts; and lastly a learning community which allows the participants share their experience on a web-based community of practice (CoP).
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1 INTRODUCTION

The SOLINSA project has followed and studied 17 LINSAs of different types in eight European countries over a period of almost three years, aiming to understand how to better support them in their role in the transition towards more Sustainable Agriculture. The participatory research approach has applied a set of tools and methods (Home and Moschitz, 2014), to support the need of both the LINSAs and the researchers. The outcome challenges of these interactions had to be defined together between the researchers and the stakeholders of the studied LINSAs. This allowed an adequate collaboration protocol to be established. Understanding the key success factors of this collaboration has been at the centre of the preparation for the pilot training at the end of the SOLINSA research project.

The different experiences have shown that the ability of the researchers to contribute to this transition is not obvious and that the knowledge, skills and attitude of the person or group of persons playing the role of transition partners have a major influence on the success of the supportive interactions.

By sharing the experiences during the project researchers have played the role of transition partners allowing them to distinguish, identify and describe a set of competences that were needed.

The training concept presented in this document aims to share the knowledge acquired in the project with future transition partners, i.e. relevant actors and stakeholders who are in situation to support a LINSAs. It is based on the live experiences of a pilot training that has been carried out and evaluated by a trainer team stemming from the SOLINSA consortium. This pilot training took place in Paris, with 13 participants, already acting as transition partners from research and innovation organisations, coming from 7 European countries, between 7th and 25th of October 2013. The learned experiences, during the pilot training place has been documented (see annexes pages 42 and following), analysed and fed in the presented concept.

The concept of “transition partner” emerged only after the training, but the interest to get involved in the LINSAs, within a supportive role was clearly identified by the participants. The trainees considered that the tools to analyse social learning, the dynamic of innovation, as well as the processes in networks as very useful for their daily work. Parallel to this, the facilitated and interactive sequences, creative methods which help to know each other, to exchange and to collaborate were well received. The test training resulted in a training methodology that can now be used and adapted to train transition partners in different situation. It also gave the opportunity to better formalise the methodology to support LINSAs and the different possible roles of transition partners (Dockes et al., 2013; Helmle 2013;).

This training concept is also the result of: the theoretical framework as it has been structured and refined in the project (Brunori et al. 2011; 2013; Tisenkopfs

---

2 See definition in chapter 2
et al. 2014), the participatory learning and working methods described (Home and Moschitz, 2014), the understanding of the context (Hermans et al., 2011) and the structure of LINSA (Ingram et al., 2013). But it also triggered a number of specific tools and concepts that have arisen from challenges of the training and the questions of the participants. The support of “transition partner” (trainings and support of a collegial transition partners’ network) is considered as a substantial part in the policy recommendations (Burkart et al. 2013).

We therefore would like to stress that this concept is the fruit of a large collaboration and the willing investment of many experts, and we want to acknowledge them thankfully for their valuable contributions.
2 OBJECTIVES OF THE TRAINING

2.1 Transition partners and their training needs

As a result of the three years interaction with LINSA, the SOLINSA researchers have identified that the transition partners play a key role in supporting the LINSA in their transition process towards a more sustainable agriculture. The different roles and functions of a transition partner require a particular set of knowledge and skills that this training can provide.

TRANSITION PARTNER

Transition partner is a collective term for actors supporting LINSA in their development in a co-operative way. Important is that these actors show a self-understanding of being learners and listeners. Basic attitudes are authenticity, empathy and appreciation, as well as patience and sincere curiosity.

The intention of transition partners is to stimulate social learning processes, new developments respectively advancements of innovations, and network development with the aim of supporting sustainable development.

Source: Helmle, 2013

The transition partners can have different roles. The authors identify the following fields of action:

1. Internal strengthening and advancement of the LINSA, in the role of a facilitator.
2. Participative data collection and analysis with a group of LINSA members, in the role of a participatory researcher.
3. Methodological support in the development and establishment of boundary objects, in the role of a boundary person.
4. Technical support in the development and establishment of boundary objects, in the role of an expert, lecturer or trainer.
5. Support in improving the connection to the AKS and in increasing networking activities, in the role of an intermediary person.

Each of the fields of action of a transition partner requires its particular set of knowledge and skills. These do not fit into the hands of single persons but often need the collaboration of a transition partner team. They could be professional and experienced rural extension officers, rural development managers or advisors, researchers or experts. They must have, among other competences, profound facilitation skills, access to a large network within and outside the Agricultural Knowledge System (AKS), and have strong projects management and organisational development skills.
The training does not concentrate on all the skills and competences needed by transition partners. It focuses on a set of knowledge, skills and attitudes that SOLINSA project has brought to light as crucial for supporting the LINSA. These are considered necessary and have to underline the different fields of action to be able to carry out a coherent and efficient support for LINSA. The efficient transition partners need to know and understand the theoretical key concepts that will allow them to carry out context relevant analyses, to understand and to apply successfully the suggested methods and approaches. They also have to understand the importance and the impact of their own position and attitude to be able to create the needed links and establish a trustful partnership with the LINSA. This set of knowledge, skills and attitude are the object of the transition partner training we describe here.

2.2 Target audience

The SOLINSA transition partner training is designed for professional and experienced rural extension officers, rural development managers, researchers, experts and advisors aiming to acquire knowledge, skills and attitudes that will enable them to contribute in the emergence and development of LINSA.

As they are not addressed in this training, the following skills are a prerequisite for participating:

- Participants have proven facilitation skills.
- They are key persons at the interface between AKS of their area or country and the rural networks.
- They are involved in linking relevant rural and agricultural stakeholders in joint learning and action-research programmes.
- They bring along their experience and are ready to share it with others.

2.3 Outcome challenges

After the training, participants shall have a new understanding about learning and innovation processes in networks for a sustainable agriculture. Participants shall be able to analyse the context and to design an adequate support strategy to lead LINSA through an empowerment process allowing LINSA to achieve autonomously their own transition towards sustainable agriculture. Participants shall be able to reflect about the impact of their own attitude on the process, specifically concerning the building of a trustful partnership.

2.4 Learning Objectives

In order to face the outcome challenges, the following learning objectives are defined regarding knowledge, skills and attitude.

2.4.1 Knowledge

The participants should know and understand the concepts of: LINSA, networks, innovation, learning, boundary objects, social learning, sustainable agriculture, transition partner, (Brunori et al., 2011; 2013; Tisenkopfs et al., 2014)

Participants should know and understand the characteristics of LINSA and how
Sustainable agriculture can be characterised (Ingram et al., 2013)

They should know and understand the concepts of AKS, Agriculture Innovation System (AIS), and be able to identify the different actors of the AKS and their roles (Hermans et al., 2011)

Participants should be able to understand the political context both at EU level, (in particular framed by the EIP 'Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability', and Horizon 2020); national and local level. They must be able to identify where LINSA can find support (Burkart et al., 2013).

Participants should be able to choose appropriate interactive and participatory tools and methods to support LINSA (Home and Moschitz, 2014).

2.4.2 Skills

Participants must be able to use the learned concepts to analyse the context of their situation or case and to elaborate an adequate strategy to support a LINSA.

2.4.3 Attitude

Participants must be able to reflect about the impact of their attitude towards the LINSA. They must be able to adapt it in order to facilitate the establishment of a trustful partnership with manifold and diverse actors.
3 DIDACTICAL OUTLINE OF THE TRAINING AND FACILITIES

In coherence with the findings and the general approach of the SOLINSA research project the didactical outline of the training is based on the following fundamentals:

- The participants and the trainers are partners in a common learning process; there is not an expert (or group of experts) in front of a group of ignorant people. All participants and trainers bring their set of expertise and together they will co-construct a new knowledge stemming out from this particular situation and combination of persons. The trainers will adopt an exemplary position and role of transition partners (‘walk the talk’).

- The training is a learning opportunity where each participant is responsible for his own learning and development process.

- Learning is a systemic process and therefore is facilitated by the interaction between participants. The social learning aspects are emphasized through many participatory exercises carried out in small groups that contributing step by step to build the new knowledge.

- Theoretical inputs are considered as stimulating mater for reflection always subject to be questioned. They are never to be considered as absolute or definitive truth.

The number of participants should be between 10 and 15 (max. 18) to allow an appropriated group dynamic and direct interaction between the participants and the trainers

The training is composed of three phases:

**E-learning:** During the three weeks preceding the face-to-face seminar the participants follow an e-learning process where they are able to learn (readings and exercises) the theoretical background and to interact with the expert during dedicated webinars. The objective is to start the training with sufficient understanding of the concept to step in to practical training from the beginning of the seminar. The participants are able to identify and document their own show case they will use to work on during the face to face seminar.

**Face-to-face seminar:**

During a three and half day seminar the participants work on their own show case and different examples coming from the SOLINSA project to train the application of the theoretical concepts. Participatory learning methods are used to be coherent with the philosophy of the SOLINSA project.

**Learning community:**

A training web-site is available to the participants and the trainer team to share contents and information about the logistics of the training. The participants are invited to share their own experience in a reflexive
position within a training blog where they share their learning experiences. This platform can thus turn to a web-based community of practice (CoP).

**Evaluation** is important to accompany the training, and was included at three points in time:

**Evaluation before the training:** adapt the concept to the exact needs of the participants doing interviews – focus groups or other methods.

**Evaluation during the training:** it is interesting to check if the perception and feeling of the participants during the training. In particular it is useful to carry out an assessment of this at the beginning of each day and at the end of each day.

**Evaluation at the end of the training:** it is valuable to evaluate the training at the end in order to further develop it for the next implementation. (The results of the evaluation of the test training are shown in chapter 5).
4 TRAINING PROGRAM

Table 1 shows the overview of the whole training program. After that, the different modules of the program are described in detail. The structure of the descriptions is inspired by Gerster-Bentaya and Hofmann (2011).

Table 1 Program overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E-learning (see chapter 4.1)</th>
<th>Face to face Seminar (see chapter 4.2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 1.1</td>
<td>Morning review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction to the training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 2.1</td>
<td>Module 2.1: Getting further in the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-learning</td>
<td>concept of LINSA and link to show cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding the context,</td>
<td>brought by the participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>what is AKS, AIS, AKIS, ...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 3.1</td>
<td>Module 3.1: The SOLINSA approach into</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-learning</td>
<td>practice: tools, methods, success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition partners and</td>
<td>factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 4</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 4.1</td>
<td>Module 4.1: The self-understanding and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The self-understanding and</td>
<td>resources to become a transition partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 2.2</td>
<td>Module 2.2: The SOLINSA approach “light”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-learning</td>
<td>and test during a visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The concept of LINSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webinar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussing the context with</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the expert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 3.2</td>
<td>Module 3.1: Continuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participatory methods and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webinar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussing the characteristics of LINSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 4</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 3.3</td>
<td>Module 4.4: Transfer to practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing the F2F seminar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 1:</td>
<td>Module 2.2: The SOLINSA approach “light”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction to the training</td>
<td>and test during a visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 3.1:</td>
<td>Module 3.1: Continuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 4</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 4.4: Transfer to practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1 E-learning phase

4.1.1 Objectives

- Participants and trainers meet each other and start interactions
- Participants get first insights of the main concepts: LINS A, AKS and AKIS, transition partners
- At the end of the webinars, participants are able to choose one show case they will work on during the training.
- Participants have a practical experience of the possibility to network and to learn using interactive internet tools (web 2.0). They are able to identify opportunities and challenges lying in this technology.
- Participants arrive to the meeting with a preliminary sense of community, belonging and with a clear idea about the approach and the methods they are going to meet during the training.

4.1.2 General organisation of e-learning phase

During this phase a set of webinars is carried out. In general each webinar is preceded by a self-learning task that enables participants to participate actively in the discussion with the invited expert. The webinars are facilitated by the training coordinator and an invited expert presents and discusses a specific topic with the participants. The self-learning tasks should as far as possible bring the participants to interact and to collaborate.

4.1.3 Lesson description

Each e-learning lesson is composed of a self learning part where participants read and vision learning material that they can find on the training site. In small groups they digest the contents and prepare the questions to be discussed with the experts.

During the webinar the participants give a feedback of their learning and formulate their questions to invited experts. The experts can then give additional contents and interact with participants to deepen the subject of the lesson.
Lesson 1.1: Introduction to the training

Webinar

Rational

It is important to welcome the participants and to clarify the frame of the training, so trainees may know if they are at the right place and what is coming up. They have to know what the topics are, the objectives of the training, and what investment they will have to make in terms of time, learning efforts and finances.

In the particular case of e-learning they also have to be informed and get familiar with the technical aspect of this learning media.

Main messages

- Welcoming the participants and give them the opportunity to present themselves and their expertise
- Presenting the SOLINSA project, where it came from and why it brought to offer a specific training
- Present the program and the aims of the training. Set the rule for an efficient co-learning process
- Practicing the use of the webinar tool

Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening the training and welcoming the participants</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>Welcome the participants</td>
<td>Presentation round</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Form the working groups (3 persons)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting the the SOLINSA project and the training</td>
<td>40’</td>
<td>PPT presentation and discussion</td>
<td>PPT from H. Moschitz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting the frame</td>
<td>10’</td>
<td>Plenum discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback and closing</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>Flash light feedback</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lesson 1.2 The concept of LINSÁ and the role of transition partners

Self learning

The participants are invited to view the videos about the introduction of the main definitions and concepts “What is a LINSÁ” (Prof. Gianluca Brunori, University of Pisa in Italy and Prof. Talis Tisenkopfs, Baltic Studies Center, Latvia.

In groups of three, participants prepare one slide of a PowerPoint presentation with the three key learnings and one open question to ask the expert during the webinar.

Webinar

Rational

There is a strong commitment to sustainability by European Institutions, concerns about the capacity of the food system to meet sustainability goals and the official Agricultural Knowledge Systems (AKS) fail to move in the right way.

Therefore there is a need of innovation to move towards a more sustainable agriculture. Innovation is an improvement that can be technical, organisational or social.

Innovation involves the acquisition of new knowledge, in other words learning. Learning can occur by doing or by interaction. Networks are efficient means to increase these interactions. LINSÁ have therefore high potential to bring the needed answers to face above cited challenges.

Main messages

- The complexity of change processes resp. innovation processes causes social learning, and interacting in networks, where different people bring in their expertise.
- Establishing a team spirit in a network is a learning process itself. Transition partner might give guidance to those processes, and to enable people to become to be a team.
- Learning about learning processes and gain the capacity of self reflection allowing stakeholders to learn about themselves, who they are what is their role in the system, etc…
## Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Welcoming the participants and getting feedback from the self learning</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>Acknowledge the work done by the participants</td>
<td>Presentation round, Presenting the elaborated ppt slides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting the concept of LINSA and the role of transition partners</td>
<td>40’</td>
<td>Understand the key concept behind LINSA and their importance to face the challenges of Sustainable Agriculture</td>
<td>PPT presentation and discussion, Videos from G. Brunori and T. Tisenkopfs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback and closing</td>
<td>15’</td>
<td>Reflect about the process</td>
<td>Flash light feedback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lesson 2.1 “Agricultural Knowledge System in Europe: Characteristics and main issues”.

Self learning

The participants are invited to view the videos about the introduction of the main definitions and concepts of Agricultural Knowledge systems AKS, AKIS, “Agricultural Knowledge System in Europe: Characteristics and main issues”.

In groups of three, participants prepare one slide of a powerpoint presentation with the three key learning’s and one open question to ask the expert during the webinar.

Webinar

Rational

There is a lot of confusion in the scientific literature about what is Agricultural Knowledge systems AKS, the Agricultural Innovation system AIS, or AKIS if the “I” stands for innovation or information.

Formerly knowledge diffusion or knowledge transfer was often understood as a linear flow from scientist to farmers, with farmers as passive receptors. Today, given the complex problems of sustainable development, the linear knowledge transfer is not any longer appropriate to address the challenges, but a multi-actor perspective is needed, in which farmers are considered to hold a great amount of specific knowledge. In this understanding, scientists, advisors, and farmers are more and more considered as peer collaborators in the knowledge system.

The Agricultural Knowledge System (AKS) refers to the formal knowledge system including vocational schools, universities, advisory services, and research institutions. Agricultural Knowledge and Innovation System (AKIS) additionally includes farmers, NGOs and entrepreneurs in rural areas, and has a stronger focus on the processes between the actors. Agricultural Innovation System (AIS) would be an even broader system including actors outside the agricultural system that might have influence on the innovation process.

What is understood by innovation is also evolving, when innovation used to be considered as a technical device progressively introduced on the market, nowadays an innovation can be any kind improvements that include not only hardware but also the software such as social or environmental aspects.

The position of LINSA in this context can be very variable from very close to official AKS or quite distant to it.

Main messages

- The analysis of the AKS of different nations showed that many actors are involved in developing, and transferring knowledge in the fields of agriculture and rural development. Often the AKS is
fragmented: the actors are not well interrelated; important parts of “alternative” knowledge are not well considered or integrated in the mainstream process of knowledge transfer, and linear ways of knowledge transfer are dominating the educational parts in the system.

- Innovation in agriculture may come from different sectors, for example, technological innovations from other sectors are often adapted to sectorial needs. Procedures to consider innovations that are developed on farms or in transdisciplinary projects that take in consideration farmers views are rare.
- Analyzing the AKS is helpful to identify the position of a specific LINSA, to identify potential partners and to understand relevant knowledge fluxes.

**Sessions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Welcoming the participants and getting feedback from the self learning</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>Acknowledge the work done by the participants Collecting the questions to the expert</td>
<td>Presentation round Presenting the elaborated ppt slides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting the concept of AKS, AKIS, AIS. Using a matrix to analyse AKS in different countries</td>
<td>40’</td>
<td>Understanding the context may identify opportunities and constrains for LINSA the challenge of Sustainable Agriculture</td>
<td>PPT presentation and discussion</td>
<td>PPT presentation by Frans Hermans (video from webinar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback and closing</td>
<td>15’</td>
<td>Reflect about the process</td>
<td>Flash light feedback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lesson 2.2 Characteristics of LINSA

Self-learning

The participants are invited to view the power point videos about the characteristics of LINSA “Support Of Learning and Innovation Networks for Sustainable Agriculture”.

In groups of three, participants prepare one slide of a PowerPoint presentation with the three key learning’s and one open question to ask the expert during the webinar.

Webinar

Rational

When analysing the LINSA, we can observe a large diversity of them. When looking at the kind of support used or needed by LINSA we can identify characteristics allow us to classify them in a certain extent.

LINSA can be active in different domains: social innovation, technical mainstream innovation, health- or care farming. They can be farm and/or, consumer oriented. They can involve many actors from inside and outside official agricultural knowledge system.

It is hard to identify a “one fits all” way to support LINSA. We can identify a set of constrains and opportunities.

Main messages

- LINSA can vary in a large range of scale, number of actors, state of development, aim, …
- LINSA support arrangements can have internal or external origin, most of the time LINSA use a mix of them.

Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Welcoming the participants and getting feedback from the self learning</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>Acknowledge the work done by the participants Collecting the questions to the expert</td>
<td>Presentation round Presenting the elaborated ppt slides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics of LINSA</td>
<td>40’</td>
<td>“Support Of Learning and Innovation Networks for Sustainable Agriculture”</td>
<td>Presentation and discussion</td>
<td>PPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback and closing</td>
<td>15’</td>
<td>Reflect about the process</td>
<td>Flash light feedback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lesson 3.1 Transition partners and LINSA

Self-learning

The participants are invited to view the power point videos about the concept of transition partner (see SOLINSA factsheet 053).

In groups of three, participants prepare one slide of a PowerPoint presentation with the three key learning’s and one open question to ask the expert during the webinar.

Webinar

Rational

The concept of transition partnership was developed due to the participative LINSA-researcher interaction. These interactions focused on two aspects: the support of LINSA and the analytical questions of the researcher to understand the potentials and challenges of LINSA. Researchers applied a broad set of participative methods to gather data in collaboration with LINSA members. The interactions themselves were considered as a specific type of support. Mainly via reflections and open discussion in the protected space of research, LINSA gained deeper understanding of - their own history, - of their communication and decision making patterns, or - of their relationships.

The reflections focused on:

- the visibility of LINSA in the “outside world” namely the AKS – often this happens through boundary object and/or intermediary persons,
- the mechanisms of learning, considered as a clarifying communication process about everyday procedures in LINSA on a meta-level.

LINSA demanded a specific expertise of researchers. People who work with LINSA or who are part of a LINSA can become a transition partner.

Main messages

Transition partners support LINSA in their development in a co-operative way. Support through transition partners happens in different roles as facilitator, participative researcher, boundary person, expert/lecturer or trainer, or as intermediary person.

- It is important that transition partners show a self-understanding of being learners and listeners. Basic attitudes are authenticity, humility, empathy and appreciation, as well as patience and sincere curiosity. The interest is to support the LINSA, and not to impose ideas of change or of an improved performance which comes from outside.

3 http://www.solinsa.org/fileadmin/Files/newsletter/FACTSHEET_5.pdf
- The intention of transition partners is to stimulate social learning processes, new developments respectively advancements of innovations, and network development with the aim of supporting sustainable agriculture.

### Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Welcoming the participants and getting feedback from the self learning</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>Acknowledge the work done by the participants Collecting the questions to the expert</td>
<td>Presentation round Presenting the elaborated ppt slides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting the concept of AKS, AKIS, AIS. Using a matrix to analyse AKS in different countries</td>
<td>40’</td>
<td>Understanding the context may identify opportunities and constrains for LINSASA the challenge of Sustainable Agriculture</td>
<td>PPT presentation and discussion</td>
<td>PPT presentation by Frans Hermans (video from webinar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback and closing</td>
<td>15’</td>
<td>Reflect about the process</td>
<td>Flash light feedback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lesson 3.2 Participatory methods and learning process

Self learning

The participants are invited to view the PowerPoint about the concept of transition partner by Studying learning and innovation networks – transdisciplinary methods in SOLINSA.

In groups of three, participants prepare one slide of a powerpoint presentation with the three key learning’s and one open question to ask the expert during the webinar.

Webinar

Rational

In complex situations it is increasingly difficult for one individual to outline an adequate analysis of the context and to formulate pertinent reactions. There is a need to include implicate a significant number of actors to be able to identify weak signals present in the environment.

Participation is understood as a social learning and decision making process. Participants of training courses or LINSA members come up with ideas, with own experiences, and with own concepts. From the trainer or transition partner perspective this knowledge pool is understood as an important and powerful resource. It is essential to activate this resource, to stimulate debates, and to initiate a constructive dynamic between training course participants or LINSA members.

A powerful concept is the theme-centred about interaction, developed by Ruth Cohn (1976); see Figure 1. She considers that participatory methods have to balance the needs and the responsibility of the I, the patterns and relationships of the group WE, and the components of the theme IT. The triangle of the I, the We and the Theme is surrounded by a globe, which is considered as the subjective relevant environment. For the learning process it is important, that participants become to be aware of their own influence and self responsibility.

Figure 1 Theme centred interaction (Cohn 1976)
Main messages

- Only collective intelligence can give a pertinent decryption of complex situations.
- Learning is understood as a social process (social learning) among a group of individuals. Group dynamic is part of this learning process. Learning is part of change processes, based on a shared knowledge, with the aim to increase knowledge.
- Participatory methods are based on open questions which stimulate reflection, on short inputs to give some additional information when it is necessary, and on possibilities to interlink the content with own experiences.
- The group of learners (LINSA, participants) is responsible for the results and profoundness of the learning process. Transition partners give guidance to those processes, taking into consideration the content, the individuals and the group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Welcoming the participants and getting feedback from</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>Acknowledge the work done by the participants Collecting the questions to the expert</td>
<td>Presentation round Presenting the elaborated ppt</td>
<td>PPT presentation “Studying learning and innovation networks – transdisciplinary methods in SOLINSA”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the self learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>slides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting the concept of AKS, AKIS, AIS. Using a</td>
<td>40'</td>
<td>Understanding the context may identify opportunities and constrains for LINSA the</td>
<td>PPT presentation and discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>matrix to analyse AKS in different countries</td>
<td></td>
<td>challenge of Sustainable Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback and closing</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>Reflect about the process</td>
<td>Flash light feedback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lesson 3.3

Self learning 3.2

To prepare for the face-to-face seminar the participants are asked to prepare a CV of a network they have in mind or are working with that they consider could be a LINSA. This working situation or show cases shall be their reference during the seminar to relate the new learning to their day to day praxis.
4.1.4 Best practices for webinars – from the SOLINSA experience

- Choose a powerful webinar tool allowing small group outbreaks and raising hand tool
- Invite participants to check in 15 minutes before the beginning of the webinar to control the quality of the connection
- Limit the duration of each webinar to maximum 90’
- Make sure to have as many interaction as possible with the participants during the webinar to stimulate their attention
- It is important to have short but frequent webinars to strengthen the adhesion of the participants to the learning process and to the learning community
- Ask participants to provide a kind of ID (picture, name&country, main working activities) for the first webinar
- Try to limit round table discussions
- Find a way to increase participation (questions, homeworks) and facilitate concentration
- For the training blog create a unique common platform where trainees can share their learning experiences and questions.
4.2 Face-to-face seminar

4.2.1 Specific objectives of the face-to-face seminar

- Participants and trainers meet in a residential seminar to strengthen their ties and build up a trustful partnership.
- Participants and trainers go through a social learning process and co-construct shared knowledge, skills and attitudes for transition partners.
- The training is the opportunity to experience and to reflect what it is about, more than just theoretical knowledge.
- The participants have the opportunity to make a relation between what they learn and their daily praxis.
- Participants have the opportunity to interact with researchers and LINSA stakeholders that have experienced the SOLINSA approach.
- Participants and trainers get a deeper insight into SOLINSA concepts and their application possibilities.

4.2.2 General organisation

The face-to-face seminar has to take place in a training centre with adequate facilities for lodging and catering of the participants and the trainer team. The main training room will be big enough to allow the learning group to sit all in a circle, to have different practical activities, to hang up different working results on the walls. An adequate number of smaller rooms (one for every multiple of five participants) must be available for breaking out in smaller groups.

4.2.3 Module description

Module 1 Introduction to the training

Rational

As Gerster-Bentaya and Hofmann. (2011) notes in participatory training approaches it is essential that at the beginning of the event the participants know who is present, why they are there, what they are going to do, and how they are going to do it. Therefore the participants have to know at any given time where the group stands and where it wants to go. The training objectives and the actual program should be visible at all time so that the participants can easily refer to it without disturbing the others. Working rules have to be elaborated and validated together with participants and made visible to be recalled at any time during the event. The trainers and the participants have to know each other to be able to start to build up the trustful partnership that will be needed throughout the training to enable the process of co-creation of new knowledge.
Main messages

- Opening session: it is clearly understandable that we are now entering a specific process in which we acknowledge to work together to achieve the announced aims.
- Presentation of the trainer team: to know who has triggered and designed the event and to give elements proving their credibility and sincerity.
- Program, Logistics (rooms, excursions etc.): to set the frame of the training in time and in space.
- Training aims: to be clear why we are here and where we want to go to.
- Rules, question-suggestion board, tips & tricks box: to seal a collaboration contract between the trainer team and the participants as well as among them.
- Personal introduction, to know who is here and some elements of their background related to the training topic and to their person.
- Aims of the trainees, to seal a commitment of the participants to take responsibility for their own learning process.
- Blogger, review and logistics teams: to involve the participants in the didactical process each day of the training a new team of participants is responsible to update the learning blog, to prepare and to present a short review of previous day contents and learning’s. They will also be involved in the good functioning of the event in terms of logistics (room setting, time keeping, cleaning up, etc.).
### Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective(why)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening</td>
<td>10’</td>
<td>Clearly understandable that we are now entering a specific process in which we acknowledge to work together to achieve the announced aims.</td>
<td>Presentation; Questions &amp; Answers (Q&amp;A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation of the trainers team</td>
<td>15’</td>
<td>To know who has triggered and designed the event and to give elements proving their credibility and sincerity.</td>
<td>Presentation; Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program, Aims, Blogger, review and logistics teams</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>To know at any given time where the group stands and where it wants to go</td>
<td>Presentation; Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set the rules</td>
<td>30’</td>
<td>To seal a collaboration contract between the trainer team and the participants as well as among them</td>
<td>Group work (GW); Plenum sharing and formal acknowledgment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aims of the trainees</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>To seal a commitment of the participants to take responsibility for their own learning process</td>
<td>Card questions; Plenum sharing;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal presentation</td>
<td>90’</td>
<td>To know who is here and some element of their background related to the training topic and to their person</td>
<td>Drawing; Plenum sharing; Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Module 2.1: Getting further in the concept of LINSA and link to show cases brought by the participants.

Rational

For transition partners to be able to design an adequate support strategy it is important that they have understood the main theoretical concepts that allow them to describe what a LINSA is and how they are functioning. They have to be able to relate these concepts to their actual praxis. Therefore they have to identify how the new learned concept can be illustrated in their own show cases.

LINSA is a complex concept that can't be described in few words, only a discussion between trainers and participants and among them can create a clear and shared understanding.

Main messages

LINSA can be defined in terms of:

- Boundary objects and boundary work
- Networks
- Governance
- Learning and innovation
- Degree of integration

Sessions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presentation of the show cases by the participants</td>
<td>60’</td>
<td>All participants have the general view of the diversity of cases in the room and feels involve</td>
<td>Flipchart</td>
<td>CV of a LINSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting further with the concepts: level of innovation, boundary work and boundary objects</td>
<td>90’</td>
<td>The participants understand the concepts like level of innovation (radical vs. incremental) and boundary objects and work</td>
<td>PPT presentation + whispering rounds</td>
<td>PPT presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion on the show cases</td>
<td>45’</td>
<td>Participants are able to implement these concepts to their LINSA</td>
<td>Discussion in small groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing results</td>
<td>45’</td>
<td>Get a common understanding</td>
<td>Plenum discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Module 2.2: The SOLINSA approach “light” and test during a visit

Rational

The SOLINSA project has gathered experience in approaching and supporting LINSA. It was possible to outline key factors for developing a successful and trusting partnership with the stakeholders of the LINSA. By applying the proposed approach to a real case, it is possible to improve understanding of the main challenges and key steps to engage with stakeholders. An appropriate analysis of the situation based on document analysis and interviews allows drawing the first outline of a support strategy. These elements will be the ground stones for the partnership to be established.

Main messages

- To understand and to apply analytical characteristics of LINSA, and to put them into practice
- To discuss potentials, challenges, needs and possible development paths of the LINSA
- To understand LINSA in the specific environment
- To consider the own role and attitude as transition partner
- To practice how to approach a LINSA, how to initiate co-operation.

Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General presentation of the SOLINSA approach</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>To have an over view of the general approach</td>
<td>Presentation Q&amp;A</td>
<td>Powerpoint and document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of the visit of a LINSA and test of the Solinsa approach</td>
<td>90’</td>
<td>To know about the show case and to prepare a question canvas that will allow small groups to gather the needed elements</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>Descriptions of the show case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit</td>
<td>120’</td>
<td>To understand if the show case corresponds to the characteristics of a LINSA To have the point of view of the show cases’ actors</td>
<td>Common visit of the group of a LINSA and exchange with the LINSA members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debriefing on the visit</td>
<td>45’</td>
<td>To share results and to elaborate questions for next steps</td>
<td>GW and Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Module 3.1: Putting the SOLINSA approach into practice: tools, methods, success factors

Rational

The SOLINSA researchers have interacted in a participatory manner with the LINSA. In these relationships, the role of the transition partner was to analyse and identify, and then provide, support for the LINSA. LINSA express various kinds of needs, depending on their stage of development: classical knowledge needs (technical, scientific, economic, marketing management etc.) or emerging knowledge (brokering information, networking, organisational evolution etc.). It was possible to identify and characterise the needs in a systemic manner the, which led to a stepwise approach to support a LINSA.

Main messages

The following steps should be followed when supporting a LINSA:

- First step: identification of LINSA, establishing trust between Innovation broker and LINSA, and understanding its characteristics.
- Second step: joint analysis of needs, negotiation of collaboration, and formalising of the objective of the supporting activities.
- Third step: carrying out the supporting activities.
- Fourth step: evaluation of the activities, and possible definition of a new set of objectives.

Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detailed presentation of the Solinsa approach including tools and methods</td>
<td>30’</td>
<td>Provide a knowledge about the “SOLINSA” method to support LINSA.</td>
<td>Presentation and Whispering rounds</td>
<td>Powerpoint and written document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange with LINSA stakeholders and Solinsa researchers on their experience</td>
<td>90’</td>
<td>Participants learn and discuss the 4 main steps of the approach</td>
<td>Carrousel (GW and sharing of the results)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation of the SOLINSA approach the cases brought by the participants</td>
<td>60’</td>
<td>Pts reflect how the SOLINSA approach fits to their own praxis</td>
<td>Individual reflexion Peer discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key elements for successful interactions between transition partners and LINSA</td>
<td>45’</td>
<td>Making the link between learned elements and praxis to identify opportunities and challenges</td>
<td>Plenum Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Module 3.2: The role and functions of transition partners

Rational

Participants have experience in working with LINSA as free-lance, as advisors, as researchers or as farmers or LINSA leaders. Taking over the role of a transition partner involves being able to reflect on and to understand the relation that exists between the transition partner’s own attitudes and their own understanding of the role and the quality of the partnership with the LINSA. It should be clear what role the transition partnership is expected to play. Beyond this personal development, training in participative methods, and continuous reflection of the LINSA-transition partner interaction are key moments to increase the quality of the work.

Main messages

- Know about the different roles and functions of transition partners, and to consider what competences are important in the respective part of transition partnership
- To be aware of potential role conflicts (i.e. expert and facilitator), and to reduce such conflicts by clarifying its own role
- To be open and interested to improve own competences and to develop specific attitudes
- Continuous development of the own understanding of partnership, of the specific methodological support, and of the consequences of the interaction with LINSA.

Sessions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definitions of key terms, roles, functions</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Job profile&quot; of a transition partner</td>
<td>60'</td>
<td>Pts identify specific skills and describe the ideal job profiles of transition partners</td>
<td>Small GW Card collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing in Plenum.</td>
<td>45'</td>
<td>Create a common understanding</td>
<td>Small group presentations Plenum discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Module 4.1 Attitudes of the transition partners

Rational
In the definition of transition partners a self-understanding of being learners and listeners is considered. Basic attitudes of transition partners are authenticity, humility, empathy and appreciation, as well as patience and sincere curiosity. Attitudes are not automatically given to the personality. Personal development, awareness of their own behaviour, a high level of self-reflection and focusing on the understanding of partnership, their own role, and their own responsibility are fundamental needs. The development of attitudes should be part of the exchanges with colleagues and face-to-face training in transition partner groups.

Main messages
- Method and content training should be complemented with personal development
- Positive attitudes and their continuous reflection is a substantial part of transition partners skills
- The self-understanding of transition partners as learner and listener support LINSA in clarifying own concerns and to identify own development paths
- Reflection and maturation of attitudes are substantial for the relationship to LINSA. It helps to deal with stress and high dynamics in the LINSA, to deal with the diverse personalities of LINSA members, and it helps to perform in transition partner teams.

Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definitions</td>
<td>15’</td>
<td>Stimulate self reflection, stimulate awareness on own habits and challenges of personal development</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>Peer coaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer coaching</td>
<td>40’</td>
<td>Developing a common understanding of shared attitudes. Open the discussion for visions of supportive attitudes. Increase the sensitivity of personal development.</td>
<td>Peer coaching</td>
<td>Plenum discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing reflections</td>
<td>40’</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plenum discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Module 4.2: the policy context for transition partners supporting LINSA

Rational

In the policy context, improved alliances between LINSA and AKS are considered. Within the new support mechanism of European Innovation Partnership (EIP) LINSA are considered as potential partners in Operational Groups and Focus Groups. Transition partners have a special role to play as innovation brokers. Innovation brokers in the EIP context support LINSA. EIP can be a chance for LINSA to open protected spaces for creativity, experimentation for trial and error. Due to the limited period of time of such project groups LINSA offer a long-term structure which allows continuing what has been developed in projects in the EIP context. In the context of improved relationships to the AKS – including the role LINSA can play in the EIP - transition partners are mainly in the role of intermediary persons, boundary persons and as experts of LINSA. Conservative dissemination activities like papers and conference presentations are part of the task.

Main messages

- Beyond the direct support of LINSA – mainly via facilitation – dissemination activities, these are to increase LINSAs visibility and activities to interrelate LINSA with actors from the AKS or from other LINSA, are relevant.
- Transition partners stress the importance of classical dissemination activities (conference presentations, papers in relevant journals) as well as establishing new settings in conference and workshops.
- Adopting participatory methodologies for large groups at conferences helps to connect actors from very different areas. This way, conferences can become platforms for social learning and the co-creation of knowledge.
## Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definitions</td>
<td>20’</td>
<td>Transition partner / EU Innovation Broker; Relation LINSA / AKS / EIP</td>
<td>Presentation Q&amp;A</td>
<td>Ppt Examples from the SOLINSA dissemination workshops and from the final conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition partner in the role of an intermediary person; participative methods for large groups</td>
<td>1h</td>
<td>Understanding of transition partners role and function to be an intermediary person. Developing strategies for intermediary persons. Developing participative dissemination activities.</td>
<td>World café</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing reflections</td>
<td>30’</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plenary discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Definitions
- Transition partner
- EU Innovation Broker
- Relation LINSA
- AKS
- EIP

### Methods
- Presentation
- Q&A
- Ppt
- Examples from the SOLINSA dissemination workshops and from the final conference

### Learning material used
- Ppt
- Examples from the SOLINSA dissemination workshops and from the final conference
Module 4.3: The concept of LINSA in practice

Rational

It is important to understand how concepts can help transition partners in their daily work. This will be best achieved when concepts are illustrated in concrete examples (i.e., chosen among the LINSA studied in the SOLINSA project). The transition partner must be able to make links between concepts and to identify actions that help LINSA to reach their objectives.

Main messages

- Concepts are a **means for understanding** reality and **tools for changing** this reality.
- Concepts characterise the **main dimensions of LINSA** agency (composition, participants, interaction, governance, etc.).
- Concepts are **paradigmatic** – they refer to scientific theories (e.g., theories of learning, innovation, etc.), political priorities (e.g., EIP), and social topicalities (e.g., climate change, urbanisation, food security).
- Concepts are **theoretically and empirically informed guidelines** for an innovation broker to orient his/her LINSA support activities.
- Concepts are tools to understand the **object of intervention** (LINSA, CoP, farmers networks, etc.) and the **subject of interaction** (the same entity). No collective would appreciate a supporter who intervenes offensively.
- Concepts are **praxis oriented** – they project changes and improvements.
- Concepts are **tools for action** – where, why, and how to steward an innovation brokerage activity to support LINSA capacity to innovate.
### Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material to be used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **How to implement and to turn operational the LINSA concept** | 45'  | • The participants understand how the concepts can help a LINSA “supporter” in his/her daily work  
• They are given an illustration of the use of these concepts in the Latvian and other LINSA experience  
• The participants can make links between these concepts and identify actions that help LINSA to achieve their objectives | Presentation Whispering rounds | Power point presentation by T.Tisenkopfs |
| **Final discussion** | 15'  | The participants prepare their own reflection | Plenum discussion | |
Module 4.4: Transfer to practice, evaluation and closing

Rational

Coming to the end of the training participants must connect back to their own practice; consider what they learned and how to operationalise it. By applying the new knowledge to their show case they can check what they really understood and eventually identify gaps. This confrontation will be a good basis to evaluate the own learning process and the training itself.

After almost a week of intense collaboration in residential training, strong social interaction takes place involving strong feelings and emotions (joy, anger, fears, sadness, ...). An appropriate closing process has to take place for everybody to release the accumulated tensions and to meet at a later date in serene conditions.

To be able to put their knowledge in practices participants are invited to an individual reflection about what they will keep from the concepts in the LINSA they work with and how they will help strategically LINSA.

Main messages

- Using the concepts and tools presented during the training, come back to the personal show case and elaborate an intervention strategy with the considered LINSA. Sharing with peer trainees to improve your plan and identify strength and weaknesses. Reflecting about one’s own learning process embeds new knowledge.

Different axes of reflection are suggested:

- Policy making or understanding, at local or regional level
- Market : how to put innovation into market, different kind of markets
- Links with civil society
- Links with research, expectations from researchers.
## Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main steps (what)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Learning objective (why)</th>
<th>Methods (how)</th>
<th>Learning material used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Describing the task</td>
<td>10’</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working on its own show case</td>
<td>45’</td>
<td>Using the concepts and tools presented during the training, come back to the personal show case and elaborate a intervention strategy with the considered LINSA</td>
<td>Individual work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing with peer trainees</td>
<td>15’</td>
<td></td>
<td>In pairs and in plenum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of the training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ad hoc evaluation sheets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing the training</td>
<td></td>
<td>A clean closing facilitates the mourning</td>
<td>Flash light feedback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 Learning material

Throughout the training material was used, prepared by different members of the project consortium. All the learning material listed below can be downloaded from http://www.solinsa.org/the-results/interacting-with-linsa/.

- The “SOLINSA Approach” to support LINS by A. Dockes et al. (2013)
- Power Point Presentations
  - *What is a LINS* by G. Brunori (University of Pisa)
  - *What is a LINS* by T. Tisenkopfs (BSC)
  - “*Agricultural Knowledge System in Europe: Characteristics and main issues*” by F. Hermans (University of Wageningen)
  - “*Support Of Learning and Innovation Networks for Sustainable Agriculture*” by J. Ingram (CCRI)”
  - Studying learning and innovation networks –transdisciplinary methods in SOLINSA by R. Home (FiBL)
  - “*Development process and supporting needs for a LINS*” by A. Dockès (IDELE)
  - “*Social learning and transition partnership*” by S. Helmle (University of Hohenheim)
  - “*How to implement and turn operational the LINS concept*” by T. Tisenkops (Baltic Studies Centre)
- CV of a LINS by J. Ingram (CCRI) and D. Bourdin (AGRIDEA)
- Video presenting the concepts:
  - G. Brunori https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yUm27XNc5nw&feature=youtu.be
  - T. Tisenkopfs https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vJ2eimiwHwE&feature=youtu.be
- Links to Webinars on Youtube
  - Webinar I https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M-nWDUOG1kE&feature=youtu.be
  - Webinar II https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M6-1z_14O2U&feature=youtu.be
To implement the didactical outline some specific methods are used during the training:

- Carrousel in Bolliger and Zellweger (2007)
- Whispering rounds in Hourst and Thiaaranjan (2008)
- Peer coaching in http://www.cahiers-pedagogiques.com/Animer-un-groupe-d-analyse-de-pratiques
- Preparing a field visit and expert interview in Gruber et al. (2011)
- Facilitation in Gerster-Bentaya and Hoffmann (2011)
5 EVALUATION OF THE TEST TRAINING

The programme, the detailed programme of the training and the content presented are available in the chapter 7. The training has been implemented with 13 participants, 6 trainers and 2 witnesses. Evaluation was done both by the participants and the trainers.

Overall, the lessons learned are the following:

- Starting point and presentations: avoid redundancy of self-presentations, focus on 1 of the 2 round table for participants' presentation (the one with the poster).
- Training material:
  - print out all the presentations (careful to the size of the slides)
  - give a detailed content to participants
  - create a document in order to share the most important results of the course
- Exchanges:
  - Plan enough time for the participants to work on their one cases and to interact informally
  - At least take one or two concrete case studies to go deeper in the analysis.
  - Plan exchanges with trainers after the training. This needs time, voluntarism and facilitation.

5.1 Results of the evaluation – the point of view of trainees

Trainees were asked to complete evaluation sheets at the end of the course in order to give feedback on the training. The following figures show the questions asked and the answers given. The third one aims at stressing points that went well and other that went not so well.

Table 2 Results of 1st evaluation sheet: Course evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The course was generally well structured</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The objectives defined at the beginning of the course have been reached</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The course has been well conducted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The contents of the course were of good quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teaching methods were adapted to the topics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The discussion and exchange time was sufficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What I learned will be useful in my daily practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3 Results of 2nd evaluation sheet: Course structure evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before the course:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The preparation (information, instructions,...) was efficient</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The webinars’ conditions were good</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The welcome was friendly</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About the hosting conditions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The accommodation was comfortable</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The quality of the food was good</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The place where the course was organised was appropriated</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About the time:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The time period (October) of the course has been well selected</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The duration (4 days) of the course was appropriated</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The working time was suitable (timeschedule, free time etc.)</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The social activities proposed were interesting</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About the assistance:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was well assisted for all logistic and organisation questions</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was well assisted in my learning process</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal contacts have been well promoted</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results of 3rd evaluation sheet:

WHAT WORKED WELL?
The participants were satisfied and mostly agreed on the following points:

Concerning the group dynamic and the process they acknowledged the positive attitude and tolerance from the trainers and participants, the interaction and participation and the interesting group works.

Concerning the facilitation, the participants acknowledged the skills and preparation from facilitators, they were happy about new methods, and inspiring material. They appreciated the examples of tools used for workshops and the management of discussions (plenum, whisper rounds, small groups).

Concerning the content, participants saw particular relevance in the inputs from both lecturers and about the EIP. They liked the well-structured framework (sequences), and the link between theory, practice and policy.

WHAT DID NOT WORK SO WELL?
The point shared by the majority of participants is the lack of time for discussion and feedback, due to an intense program. The days were too long: starting too early and finishing too late, not sufficient breaks, there was not enough time on case studies and there was not enough time for evaluation.

Some of the participants would have liked more theoretical inputs and PPT presentations; others would have liked more practical applications. Some concepts remained unclear and not all presentations had consistent quality.

Regarding facilitation: Sometimes too much time was needed to understand the task (and consequently, there was too little time for discussion).

5.2 Results of the evaluation: the point of view of the trainers

The trainers completed an evaluation sheet to give their feedback on the training. Informal exchanges also contributed to complete these results.

WHAT WORKED WELL?
The trainers shared the feelings of the trainees about group dynamic and process, facilitation aspects and content. They especially stress the following points: a positive and motivated dynamic; they appreciated the co-responsibility in facilitation; new methods learnt; the logic of the program and organisation of the different sequences; the management of discussions.

A special acknowledgment was given to the amount of experiences, knowledge and complementarities that were gathered and the huge work for preparation and facilitation from the main facilitator.

WHAT DID NOT WORK SO WELL?
The trainers pointed out some occasional tensions between trainers linked to the fact that their involvement in small group discussions was not clear to them. Like the trainees, they thought that some time was lacking for studying participants’ case studies.
5.3 Major lessons learnt from the test training:

Taking into account participants’ and trainers' feedback, here are the main changes in the training concept after the training. These elements have been integrated in the concept that is presented in the main document.

CHANGES THAT AFFECT THE STRUCTURE OF THE TRAINING

Preparation

- Joint elaboration of the program is essential and can help to receive contributions from all SOLINSA partners to the SOLINSA method
- A common understanding about participation during the training course should be reached before the course
- A clear and collective governance is important for the trainer team
- Time for briefing and debriefing is very useful and necessary
- Sending (long) documents to read to participants before the session could help them to prepare the training

Content:

Some sessions could benefit from more time:

- The module dedicated to the transfer into practice (Module 4.4)
- The debriefing of the study visit (Module 2.2)
- The carousel on SOLINSA method’s steps (Module 3.1)
- Illustrate theoretical inputs with practical experiences and examples (based on LINSA).
- Take time to explain and illustrate with an example the tool for a better comprehension
- The concept of LINSA governance should be discussed (leadership, role repartition, conflicts management etc.)
- The specificity concerning “sustainability” as part of the concept of LINSA should be deepened. Explain the specificity of working with LINSA instead of LIN.

ORGANISATIONAL ASPECTS

Time and schedule:

- There were too many sessions planned and the training was very intense
- More time wished for personal logistic and reflection (longer breaks, time between course and social activities etc.).
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Annex 1
Invitation and Programme of Test Training in Paris

**SOLINSA Transition Partner Training**

When and where?

**Webinars and e-learning**
From Sept 30th through Oct 21st

**Face to face seminar**
Monday Oct 21st to Thursday Oct 24th
Centre International de Séjour M. RAVEL
6 avenue Maurice Ravel
75012 PARIS
Phone +33 (0)1.43.58.96.00

Who is it for?
This course is designed for professional and experienced rural extension officers, rural development managers and advisors. It aims to transfer skills that will enable the participants to contribute in the emergence and development of LINSA (Learning and Innovation Networks for Sustainable Agriculture). LINSA are defined as “networks of producers, customers, experts, NGOs, SMEs, local administrations and components of the formal AKS, that are mutually engaged with common goals for sustainable agriculture and rural development - cooperating, sharing resources and co-producing new knowledge by creating conditions for communication”.

Participants may also be considering playing a key role in the implementation of Operational Groups within the European Innovation Partnership (EIP).

What is it about?
This training course will give participants new understanding of how networks are learning and innovating to contribute to a more sustainable agriculture.

Participants will identify and discuss the key factors that contribute to the emergence and development of LINSA and will also analyse a set of tools and methods that have been shown to be efficient in supporting LINSA.

The content of this course is based on the results of the SOLINSA participatory research project, which has studied a large range of LINSA. They range from local scale to national or transnational; from small, simple homogenous networks to large, complex and diverse networks with multiple actors; and from top-down to bottom-up origin.

What will you get out of it?
- You will gain a leading understanding of learning and innovation processes in rural networks
- You will gain specific skills to support LINSA
- You will become part of a specialised European Innovation-Broker Network

What will you learn?
- The latest findings about LINSA and their characteristics
- The role and approach of the I-Broker as a facilitator
- Tools and methods to support LINSA
- How to measure the perception of Sustainable Agriculture

How will learning take place?

**Virtual classroom training**
Prior to the seminar, participants will be introduced to the basic concepts of LINSA and I-Brokers through an e-learning platform with several webinars: together with the SOLINSA researchers.

**Classroom training**
The participants will be able to probe their knowledge during a three and a half day seminar in interaction with the researchers.

Together with representatives of selected LINSA, they will analyse the outcomes of the collaboration with I-Brokers and advance their skills and ideas in real case situations.
What else should you know?

The participation fees for this training course and accommodation costs are covered by the SOLINSA project budget. Grants for travel costs can be applied for.

Training coordination

Niels Rump,
Facilitator and trainer
AGRI DEA
CH-1006 Lausanne
Phone +41 21 619 44 25
Niels.rump@agridea.ch

Leading Trainers

Anne-Charlotte Dockes
Responsable du département Métiers d’Elèveurs et Société
Institut de l’Elèveage
149 rue de Bercy
F 75595 Paris Cedex 12
Tel : + 33 (0)1 40 04 52 39
Anne-charlotte.dockes@idele.fr

Dominique Barjolle
Institute for Environmental Decisions IED
ETH Zürich,
CH-8092 Zürich
Tel. +41-44-632 52 27
Mobile. +41-76-578 44 04
barjolle@ethz.ch

Invited Trainers

Dr. Heidrun Moschitz
Project Coordinator
Socio-Economics Division
Research Institute of Organic Agriculture FiBL
Ackerstrasse 113, Postfach 219
5070 Frick, Schweiz
Tel. +41 (0)62 865 72 14
heidrun.moschitz@fibl.org

Gianluca Brunori
Professor, Rural development
Dipartimento di agronomia e gestione dell’agroecosistema
Laboratorio di studi rurali ‘Sismondi’
Via s. Michele degli Scalzi 2,
I-56124 Pisa
Tel. +390502218979
gbrunori@agr.unipi.it

PD Dr Simone Helmle
Justus-Liebig Universität Gießen, FB 09
Institut für Agrarsoziologie und Beratungswesen
Arbeitsgruppe Kommunikation und Beratung
Senckenbergstr. 3
DE-35390 Gießen
Tel. 0641-99-37080 / 01731517696
s.helmle@uni-hohenheim.de

Dr. soc., prof. Talis Tisenkopfs
University of Latvia
19 Raina Blvd.,
Riga
LV 1586, Latvia
talis.tisenkopfs@lu.lv

Gusztáv Nemes
Department of Rural Economics
Corvinus University of Budapest
Fővám tér 8.
H-1093 Budapest,
nemes23@gmail.com

Kim Anh Joly
Scientific collaborator
Rural Development
AGRI DEA
CH-1006 Lausanne
kimanh.joly@agridea.ch

Pascal Dagron
AC3A - Chambres d’agriculture Arc Atlantique
ac3a@loire-atlantique.chambagri.fr

and others
(to be confirmed)
Program for e-learning sessions
(Time and dates to be confirmed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Webinar</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30.09.2013</td>
<td>16:00-18:00</td>
<td>Webinar 0</td>
<td>Basic SOLINSA Concepts and Web Tools for Networking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction to the training and to the e-learning platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction to the SOLINSA project and discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.10.2013</td>
<td>16:00-18:00</td>
<td>Webinar I</td>
<td>N. Rump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General context of i-Brokering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.10.2013</td>
<td>16:00-18:00</td>
<td>Webinar II</td>
<td>Gianluca Brunori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>What are LINSAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>University of Gloucestershire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preparing the training

Program for Monday October 21st
Getting Started and Setting the Frame

Arrival of participants
17:00 Welcome aperitif/ Networking
18:00 Opening Session, Workshop objectives and program Dominique Barjolle & Anne-Charlotte Dockes
19:00 Dinner at Maurice Ravel
20:30 The participants introduce themselves and share their motivations for participating Niels Rump
22:00 End of the first day

Program for Tuesday October 22nd
### Getting to a common understanding of LINSAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>Presenting participants’ homework</td>
<td>Niels Rump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Theoretical framework</td>
<td>Gianluca Brunori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>Understanding the characteristics of the LINSAS</td>
<td>Gusztáv Nemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30</td>
<td>SOLINSA method to support LINSAs</td>
<td>Dominique Barjolle &amp; Anne-Charlotte Dockes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:30</td>
<td>Diner at AgroParistech</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Program for Wednesday October 23rd

#### Working on case studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>Reviewing the 1st training day</td>
<td>Participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Working with LINSA</td>
<td>to be confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Challenging the SOLINSA method</td>
<td>Simone Helmle, Gusztáv Nemes, Kim Anh Joly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>Facilitation methods to support LINSAs</td>
<td>Anne-Charlotte Dockes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>Outlining key elements</td>
<td>Simone Helmle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>Role and approach of the I-Broker as a facilitator</td>
<td>Gusztáv Nemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:30</td>
<td>End of 2nd training day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:30</td>
<td>Diner in Paris and pedestrian visit of the Centre of Paris.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Program for Thursday October 24th

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Speaker/Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>Reflection about the interactions of the previous day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scope of intervention for an I-Broker: Analysing the context and formulating action strategies</td>
<td>Dominique Barjolle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>The European Commission expectations concerning I-Brokers if the frame of PEI</td>
<td>Pascal Dagron AC3A - Chambres d'agriculture Arc Atlantique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>Debriefing about the SOLINSA method</td>
<td>Talis Tisenkopfs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>Transfer into practice: framework for an I-broker network</td>
<td>Niels Rump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>End of the training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Registration

Via e-mail: cours@agridea.ch  
via our website: [www.agridea-lausanne.ch](http://www.agridea-lausanne.ch)

Deadline: 15.09.2013
ANNEX 2
What a participatory training can look like: a set of pictures

- Working in plenum
- Working in small groups
- Is it a LINSA?
- « Field » visit
- The learning group in front of the Agro Paris Tech - an important player of the French AKS